



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 450100-03617 3958 10/047,502 11/13/2001 Masahiko Sato EXAMINER 02/09/2006 20999 7590 SHANG, ANNAN Q FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10151 2617

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/047,502	SATO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Annan Q. Shang	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 November 2001</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>		
Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/09/03.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/047,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 15-19 and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Tsukamoto et al (5,796,828).** 

As to claim 1, note the **Tsukamoto** reference figures 1-2, discloses controlled-access broadcasting signal receiving system and further discloses an information recording apparatus comprising:

Information recording means (Recording/Reproducing section 'R/R' 23A, col.4, lines 20-29) for recording information (broadcast video signal) in a first recording medium and information storing means (VTR-104);

Operation means (Access Controller 'AC' 28, col.4, lines 20-40) for operating unloading of the first recording medium the information recorded by the first recording means;

Unloading means (RR-23A and col.4, lines 20-29) for unloading the first recording medium based on the operation of the operation means, note that upon receiving a control signal from AC-28, RR-23A unloads the recorded video program from VTR-104; and

Application/Control Number: 10/047,502

Art Unit: 2617

fee charging means (AC-28, col.6, line 47-col.7, line 38) for charging when the first recording medium is unloaded by the unloading means, note also the various payment methods for recording/reproducing methods (col.6, line 27-col.8, line 1+), in one example, anytime the user unloads the recorded video program, a fee is applied based on the number of times the user performs such functions.

As to claim 2, Tsukamoto further discloses where an information receiving means (Antenna 103 or Modem 106, fig.2) for receiving transmitted information (col.2, lines 18-57), where the information recording means records information received by the information receiving means into the first recording medium.

Claim 3 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

As to claim 4, Tsukamoto further discloses where the information reproducing means reproduces information form the a second recording medium, where the information reproducing means records information reproduced by the information reproducing means into the first recording medium (col.4, lines 20-29 and col.6, line 27-col.7, line 1+).

As to claim 5, Tsukamoto further disclose notifying means (AC-28) for notifying charging of fee when unloading operation is performed through the operating means (col.6, line 27-col.7, line 1+).

As to claim 15-17, the claimed "A charging method for charging a fee related to an information recording apparatus..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 1-2.

Claim 18 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,502

Art Unit: 2617

Claim 19 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 5.

As to claims 29-31, the claimed "An information recording apparatus comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3.

Claim 32 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 5.

As to claims 33-35, the claimed "A charging method for charging a fee related to an information recording apparatus..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3.

Claim 36 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-8, 14, 20-22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tsukamoto et al (5,796,828)** as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and in view of **Craig (5,790,176)**.

As to claims 6-8 and 14, Tsukamoto fails to explicitly teach means for selecting quality of the information to be stored in the first recording medium by the information means and where a fee charging means changes the amount of charge according to the quality selected through the selecting means, where the quality is based on image

Art Unit: 2617

quality and based on broadcasting system and further teaches charging according to type of first recording medium

However, note the **Craig** reference figures 1-2, teaches a multimedia system, where a user can select quality of the information to be stored in a recording medium and changes the amount of charge according to the quality selected, where the quality is based on image quality and based on broadcasting system and further teaches charging according to type of recording medium selected (col.6, lines 32-67 and col.11, line 43-col.12, line 15).

Therefore it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Craig into the system of Tsukamoto to enable a user to select affordable service based on image quality and type of device and also permit the information provider to make optimum use of memory device characteristics as well as limiting the time during which the transmission channels were occupied.

Claims 20-22 and 28 are met as previously discussed with respect to claim 6-8 and 14.

5. Claims 12-13 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tsukamoto et al (5,796,828)** as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and in view of **Eyer et al (6,588,015)**.

As to claim 12-13, Tsukamoto fails to explicitly teach selecting to recording of commercial message along with the information, where reducing the amount of charge when recording of the commercial message is selected.

However, note the **Eyer** reference, discloses various methods of reducing fees based on a user specific preferences related to commercials or ads (col.6, lines 50-61 and col.16, line 28-col.17, line 1+).

Therefore it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Eyer into the system of Tsukamoto to enable a user to select desirable and affordable service and for the information provide to charge an appropriate fee based on the user's preference.

6. Claims 9-11 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Tsukamoto et al (5,796,828)** in view of **Craig (5,790,176)** as applied to claims 6 and 20 above, and further in view of **Hershtik et al (5,790,236)**.

As to claims 9-11, Tsukamoto as modified by Craig, fail to explicitly teach where the quality of the information is based on number of languages, audio format and region code.

However, **Hershtik** teaches processing movies based on frame characteristics of the language and audio format, such as French, German, Italian, English, etc., all of which includes its region code (col.4, lines 50-65, col.6, line 61-col.7, line 55 and col.14, lines 31-67).

Therefore it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching Hershtik into the system of Tsukamoto as modified by Craig in order to process image quality based on the language, audio and region code as selected by a user and for the information provider to charge a fee accordingly.

Claims 23-25 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 9-11.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nishio (6,070,186) discloses video server and VOD system capable of effectively transmitting a special video program.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,502 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).** 

Annan Q. Shang

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600